SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

APPENDIX B

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Andrew Miller			DEFENDA			
			National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.			
	of First Listed Plaintiff Lee County, FL XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE: IN LA	dence of First Listed (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS IND CONDEMNATION CASES, D INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If K			
James W. Hennessey (Phon Dilworth Paxson LLP 3200 Mellon Bank Center, Philadelphia, PA 19103	e: 215-575-7000)		Terri Reicher,	Associate General Counsel (Phisting of Securities Dealers, In NW	none: 202-728-8967) nc.	
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITI	ZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)			DEF	and One Box for De fendant) DEF Principal Place 4 44 In This State	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen	of Another State		ad Principal Place 5 55 In Another State	
				I 3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	1 Forei	gn Country			
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFE	ITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment En forcement of 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability 340 Marine Product 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud	Ce	Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related S cizure of Propeny 21 USC Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health Other	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trad emark	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racke teer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 M otor V chicle 355 M otor V chicle Product Liability 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage	<u> </u>	LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act	SOCIAL SECURITY B 861 HIA (13 95ff)	S75 Customer C hallenge 12 USC 3410 S91 Agricultural A cts 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
REAL PROPERTY	2 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITI	ONS 7201	.abor/M gmt. Relations	D 864 SSID Title XVI	893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	U 441 Voting U 442 Employment U 443 Housing/ Accommodations U 444 Welfare U 440 Other Civil Rights U 510 Motions to Va cat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General U 535 Death Penalty U 540 Mandamus & Oth U 550 Civil Rights	☐ 740 I☐ 790 C	.abor/M gmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act Other Labor Laigation mpl. Ret. Inc.	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determinational Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions	
(PLAC	E AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		ecurity Act	26 USC 7609	Samuely Actions	
Original □ 2 Re	moved from □ ³ Remanded from □ tte Court Appellate Court	Reopeneo	d or \square 5 another (specify	erred from r district v)	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
	ON (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are fill Do not cite jurisd ictional statutes unless diversity. of organization internal rules and defamatio)	f statement of cause.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMA	ND \$	CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	f demanded in complaint; □Yes ☑No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	JUDG E			DOCKET NUMBER		
OATE 0/28/2005 OR OFFICE USE ONLY	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNER OF REC	SORD SULV			
경기 이 등록 이 경기 모양.	10UN APPLYING IFP	V	Julige	MAG. JUDG	JE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX A

Address of Defendant: National Association of Securities Deale	ro Inc. 1725 V Ct	07		7.7.2004	——————————————————————————————————————	
		w, wa	shington,	DC 20006		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Please See Attache	d. (Use Reverse	e Side F	or Additio	nal Snace)		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				Yes□	No	
Case Number: 02-8225 Judge Norma I	. Shapiro, S.J.	Da	te Termin	ated: <u>May</u>	26, 2005	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the	ne following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered				Yes	NoØ	
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the action in this court?	e same transaction as a	prior su	it pending	or within on	e year prev	viously terminated
				Yes	ы₀В	
Does this case involve the validity or infingement of a patent a terminated action in this court?	lready in suit or any ead	ier numt	pered cas	e pendina or	within one	Vear previously
terminated action in this court?					Project.	/ Joan proviously
				Yes	No	
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)						
A. Federal Question Cases		B Div	ersity Jur	isdiction Cas	ÁC.	
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contra	ncts			e Contract ar		ontracte
2. D FELA				Personal Inju		on acis
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury		- Janes		Defamation	ıу	
4. D Antitrust				ersonal Injury		
5. D Patent				8-6-		
5. Labor-Management Relations		11		hicle Persona		
7. Civil Rights				sonal Injury	(Please sp	ecify)
B. Habeas Corpus	e de la companya de	- 1	Products			
2. Securities Act(s) Cases				Liability — A		
0. Social Security Review Cases		9. 日,	All other [Diversity Cas	es	
All other Federal Question Cases		(1	Please sp	ecify)		
(Chec	TION CERTIFICA k appropriate Category)	TION				
James W. Hennessey , coun	sel of record do hereby o	ertify:				
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and of Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	ne best of my knowledge osts; I	and bel	ief, the da	amages recov	verable in I	this civil action cas
ATE: 10/27/2005 QUUOS (1)	NAME .			2023:		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jur	Attorney-at-Xaw y only if there has	been	— compli	-	Attor	ney I.D.# .P. 38.
ertify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to an cept as noted above.						
TE: 10/27/2005	BAMADETI.			20235		
-/ LAWAY) -/	TAMATORO			20233	医乳球性性抗	

Attachment to Designation Form

<u>Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:</u> The record sought to be expunged arises from transactions and court actions which took place in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX A

Motional Assessment on the second	T TOOLETS OF THE STATE OF THE S
Address of Defendant: National Association of Securities Dealers	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Please See Attached	Tr.
	(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes No 🗹
Case Number: 02-8225 Judge Norma L.	Shapiro, S.J. Date Terminated: May 26, 2005
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the	e following questions:
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered	suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No 2
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the action in this court?	e same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes No No
3. Does this case involve the validity or infingement of a patent al	ready in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes No
	Test Not
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contract	cts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. D FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. D Assault, Defamation
4. D Antitrust	4. D Marine Personal Injury
5. D Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. 🖟 Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. D Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	그 아이들 그는 그는 그는 그는 그를 걸음을 하는 것이 가득하는 사람들이 그를 가는 것이 되었다.
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	그는 그룹 그림 그는 이 그림을 살맞았다면 그림을 하면 하는 것이 얼마나 있다. 그림 그는 그림
10. D Social Security Review Cases	9. 📙 All other Diversity Cases
하 🔐 그 이 생각을 하면 하면 없이 하지만 그렇게 하는 것이 하는 것이다.	(Please specify)
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
	TION CERTIFICATION
James W. Hennessey	k appropriate Category)
Cours	sel of record do hereby certify:
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and c	ne best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
시민들은 사람들은 아이를 모든 사람들에게 가를 수 있다.	
ATE: 10/27/2005 XWW W	Attorney at-Law 20235 Attorney I.D.#
<u> </u>	y only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
ertify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to an scept as noted above.	y case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court . (
ATE: 10/27/2005	PHMINSLY - 20235
- X M 1400 1 1/10	Attorney at-Law Attorney I.D.#

Attachment to Designation Form

<u>Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:</u> The record sought to be expunged arises from transactions and court actions which took place in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT APPENDIX C FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Andrew Miller			
		: CIVIL A	CTION
	v.		
National Association of		Dealers, Inc.	
		NO.	
plaintiff shall con of filing the compreverse side of the said designation, serve on the plain the track to whice	mplete a c plaint and is form.) that defe ntiff and a h that def	vil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court case Management Track Designation Form in all civil case serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaint and shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk all other parties, a case management track designation for rendant believes the case should be assigned.	es at the time t forth on the iff regarding of court and
SELECT ONE (OF THE	FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
	(a)	Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.	
	(b)	Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	
	(c)	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	
	(d)	Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	
		asuestos.	
	(e)	Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	
	(f)	Standard Management Cases that do not fall into	
		any one of the other tracks.	
/28/2005		James W. Hennessey	
ate		Attorney-at-law	
		Andrew Miller, Plaintiff	
		Attornoy for	

(Civ. 660) 7/95

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANDREW MIL	LER,			
	Plaintiff,	: :		
		:		
V	s.		DOCKET NO	
NATIONAL SECURITIES D	ASSOCIATION EALERS, INC.,	OF :		
	Defendant.			

NOTICE OF LAWSUIT AND REQUEST FOR

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

TO: National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. c/o Terri Reicher, Esquire
Associate General Counsel
1735 K St. NW
Washington, DC 20006

A lawsuit has been commenced against you (or a party that you represent). A copy of the Complaint is attached to this notice. It has been filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and has been assigned docket number _____.

This is not a formal summons or notification from the court, but rather my request that you sign and return the enclosed waiver of service in order to save the cost of serving you with a judicial summons and an additional copy of the complaint. The cost of service will be avoided if I receive a signed copy of the waiver within 30 days after the date designated below as the date on which this Notice and Request is sent. I enclose a stamped and addressed envelope for your use. An extra copy of the waiver is also attached for your records.

If you comply with this request and return the signed waiver, it will be filed with the court and no summons will be served on you. The action will then proceed as if you had been served on the date the waiver is filed, except that you will not be obligated to answer the complaint before 60 days from the date designated below as the date on which this notice is sent.

If you do not return the signed waiver within the time indicated, I will take appropriate steps to effect formal service in a manner authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and will then, to the extent authorized by those Rules, ask the court to require you (or the party on whose behalf you are addressed) to pay the full costs of such service. In that connection, please read the statement concerning the duty of parties to waive the service of the summons, which is set forth on the bottom of the waiver form.

I affirm that this request is being sent to you on behalf of the plaintiffs, this 28th day of October, 2005.

James W. Hennessey, Esquire
DILWORTH PAXSON LLP

3200 Mellon Bank Center 1735 Market Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

(215) 575-7000

Dated: October 28, 2005 Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

TO: James W. Hennessey
Dilworth Paxson LLP
3200 Mellon Bank Center
1735 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of summons on the action of Andrew Miller v. National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., which is case number _____ in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days after October 28, 2005 or within 90 days after that date if the request was sent outside the United States.

Date Signature

Terri Reicher Associate General Counsel National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant who, after being notified of an action and asked to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the costs of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and

objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

A defendant who waives service must within the time specified on the waiver form serve on the plaintiff's attorney (or unrepresented plaintiff) a response to the complaint and must also file a signed copy of the response with the court. If the answer or motion is not served within this time, a default judgment may be taken against the defendant. By waiving service, a defendant is allowed more time to answer than if the summons had been actually served when the request for waiver of service was received.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANDREW MILLER,				
Plaintiff,	•			
VS.	: DOCKET	Г NO	// // // // // // // // // // // // // 	
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS, INC.,				
Defendant.				

COMPLAINT

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 78aa of Title 15 of the United States Code (15 U.S.C. § 78aa) and Section 1331 of Title 28 of the United States Code (28 U.S.C. § 1331).
- 2. Plaintiff, Andrew Miller ("Miller"), is a registered representative licensed to sell securities, residing at 6631 Lakeshore Lane #1018, Fort Myers, Florida, 33907.
- 3. Defendant, National Association of Securities Dealers ("NASD") is a not-for-profit Delaware corporation registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as a national securities association, pursuant to the Maloney Act, 52 Stat. 1070 (1938), 15 U.S.C. §§ 780-3, et seq., amending the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), 15 U.S.C. § 78a, et seq.
- 4. On or about October 30, 2002, a complaint was filed in the matter of *Miller v*. *First Union Brokerage Services, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 2:02-CV-08225-NS (the "Action"),

in which Miller was named as a defendant. The complaint in the Action (the "Complaint") contained various allegations of securities fraud, as well as claims for injunctive relief and unjust enrichment. Named as defendants in the Action were First Union Brokerage Services, Inc., Wachovia Mortgage Corporation, First Union National Bank, Barbara S. Racan (collectively, the "Other Defendants") and Miller. The Action was brought by plaintiffs Joseph E. Miller and Sara B. Miller (collectively, "Plaintiffs").

- 5. Although the Other Defendants named in the Action received service of the Summons and Complaint, Miller was never served with the Summons or Complaint. *See* Copy of Civil Docket for Case #: 2:02-CV-08225-NS, attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 6. Unbeknownst to Miller, by Order dated July 1, 2003, the Action was referred to NASD Arbitration in accordance with the arbitration agreement signed by the Plaintiffs. A copy of the July 1, 2003 Order is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 7. By Order dated October 30, 2003 (the "October 30 Order"), the Action was dismissed with prejudice as to all of the defendants pursuant to a negotiated settlement (the "Settlement"). The October 30 Order directed the Clerk to mark the Action "Closed." A copy of the October 30 Order is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 8. As a result of Miller never being served with the Summons or Complaint in the Action, Miller never obtained counsel to represent him in the Action, nor did he participate in any court proceedings or arbitrations in the Action. Although Miller did not participate in, nor contribute any money to, the Settlement, the October 30 Order clearly provides that the Action was dismissed with prejudice "AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS."
- 9. In or about November 2002, Miller first discovered that he was named in the Action when an attorney for Miller's employer, First Union, contacted him and informed him

that he had been named as a defendant in this Action. Upon Miller's request for advice, the attorney for First Union told him not to be concerned about the Action because Miller had not been served with the Summons or Complaint in the Action.

- 10. Through the Complaint, Plaintiffs alleged that each of the defendants engaged in acts intended to deceive, cheat and affect a fraud upon the Plaintiffs; and that defendants made fraudulent misrepresentations to Plaintiffs. Miller, however, was involved in only one limited exchange with the Plaintiffs, which occurred in March 2000, and which was specifically performed at the request of Plaintiff Joseph Miller. Miller had no knowledge of or involvement in the other transactions between Plaintiffs and the Other Defendants.
- 11. As such, Miller was improperly named as a defendant in the Action, and had neither notice nor opportunity to be heard in the matter.
- 12. As a result of the Settlement, it is now indicated in Miller's historical record in the NASD's Central Registration Depository System (the "CRD") that he was subject of a consumer-initiated complaint containing allegations that Miller was involved in sales practices violations in a case that was settled for more than \$10,000.
- 13. The NASD placed a moratorium on the expungement of information from the CRD, unless expungement has been ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction. Copies of the NASD Notice to Members 99-09 and 99-54 are attached hereto as Exhibits D and E, respectively.
- 14. The NASD has determined that expungement of such records from the CRD would be proper on an arbitration finding that: (1) the claim, allegation, or information is factually impossible or clearly erroneous; (2) the registered person was not involved in the alleged investment-related sales practice violation, forgery, theft, misappropriation, or

conversion of funds; or (3) the claim, allegation, or information is false. See NASD Notice to Members 04-16, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

- 15. Although the Action was referred to the NASD for arbitration, the Action was settled and dismissed before the arbitration occurred. Accordingly, Miller did not have the opportunity to obtain a finding that the allegations made by the Plaintiffs were (1) factually impossible or clearly erroneous; or (2) incorrectly made as to him; or (3) false.
- 16. Accordingly, Judge Norma J. Shapiro, by Order dated May 26, 2005, reopened the Action for the purpose of dismissing Miller without prejudice for lack of personal jurisdiction and for the purpose of correcting the court's error in dismissing all named defendants in the action with prejudice and ordering Miller's dismissal from the case *nunc pro tunc*. A copy of the May 26, 2005 Order is attached hereto as Exhibit G.
- 17. Andrew Miller's customer dispute information currently in the CRD relating to the claims of Joseph and Sarah Miller should be expunged because the registered person, Miller, was not involved in the alleged investment-related sales practice violation, forgery, theft, misappropriation, or conversion of funds. As previously noted, Miller was involved in only one limited exchange with the Plaintiffs, which occurred in March 2000, and which was specifically performed at the request of Plaintiff Joseph Miller. Indeed, Miller had no knowledge or involvement in the other transactions between Plaintiffs and the Other Defendants. Accordingly, Miller's CRD record should be expunged because the underlying Action as to him was without legal merit and the court dismissed him from the action for lack of personal jurisdiction.
- 18. NASD's continued inclusion of information on Miller's historical record is defamatory. Under Pennsylvania law, "[s]tatements which harm a person's business or profession . . . convey a defamatory meaning." *Johnson v. Resources for Human Development*,

Inc., 860 F.Supp. 218, 221 (E.D. Pa. 1994). Miller is harmed by the inclusion of information in the CRD relating to the Action and the Settlement because that information can be viewed by current and prospective employers and reflects negatively on Miller's integrity as a registered securities representative.

WHEREFORE, Andrew Miller hereby respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order in the form attached herewith, directing the expungement of all of Andrew Miller's customer dispute information from the CRD relating to the claims of Joseph and Sarah Miller in Civil Action No. 2:02-CV-08225-NS.

Respectfully submitted,

BY:

AMES W. HENNESSKY, ESQUIRE

P.A. I.D. No. 20235

\Dilworth Paxson LLP\

3200 Mellon Bank Cente

1735 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Tel: 215-575-7000 Fax: 215-575-7200

rax: 213-373-7200

Attorney for Plaintiff, Andrew Miller

Dated:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, James W. Hennessey, do hereby certify that, on October 27, 2005, the foregoing Complaint was served via first class mail upon the following counsel of record:

Terri Reicher Associate General Counsel National Association of Securities Dealers 1735 K Street NW Washington, D.C. 20006

James W. Hennessey (IX). # 20235)

620866_1

Exhibit A

United States District Court Eastern District of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:02-cv-08225-NS

MILLER et al v. FIRST UNION BROKERAGE SERVICES, INC. et al

Assigned to: HONORABLE NORMA L. SHAPIRO

Cause: 15:77 Securities Fraud

Date Filed: 10/30/2002 Jury Demand: Plaintiff

Nature of Suit: 850 Securities/Commodities

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

JOSEPH E. MILLER

represented by SCUDDER G. STEVENS

120 N. UNION ST POBX 1156

KENNETT SQUARE, PA 19348

610-444-9840 Fax: 610-444-9841

Email: scudder@scuddergstevenspa.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

SARA B. MILLER

ET UX

represented by SCUDDER G. STEVENS

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

FIRST UNION BROKERAGE SERVICES,

INC.

represented by JOSEPH G. DERESPINO

DERESPINO & DOUGHER PC

1818 MARKET ST

STE 2910

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

215-972-2870 Fax: 215-972-2890 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

WACHOVIA MORTGAGE CORPORATION

represented by JOSEPH G. DERESPINO

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK

represented by JOSEPH G. DERESPINO

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

ANDREW MILLER INDIVIDUALLY

Defendant

BARBARA S. RACAN INDIVIDUALLY

represented by JOSEPH G. DERESPINO

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed #		Docket Text			
10/30/2002		COMPLAINT against FIRST UNION BROKERAGE SERVICES, INC., FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK, ANDREW MILLER, BARBARA S. RACAN, WACHOVIA MORTGAGE CORPORATION (Filing fee \$ 150 receipt number 806608.), filed by JOSEPH E MILLER, SARA B. MILLER.(tj,) Additional attachment(s) added on 2/24/2003 (ldb,). (Entered: 10/30/2002)			
10/30/2002		Summons Issued; 5 Given to counsel 10/30/02. (tj,) (Entered: 10/30/2002)			
10/30/2002		DEMAND for Trial by Jury by JOSEPH E. MILLER, SARA B. MILLER. (tj,) (Entered: 10/30/2002)			
11/12/2002	2	Summons Returned Executed. Served DEFENDANT BARBARA S. RACAN on 11/1/2002, answer due 11/21/2002. (mbh,) (Entered: 11/13/2002)			
11/12/2002	3	Summons Returned Executed. Served DEFENDANT FIRST UNION BROKERAGE SERVICES, INC. on 11/1/2002, answer due 11/21/2002. (mbh,) (Entered: 11/13/2002)			
11/12/2002	. 4	Summons Returned Executed. Served DEFENDANT WACHOVIA MORTGAGE CORPORATION on 11/1/2002, answer due 11/21/2002. (mbh,) (Entered: 11/13/2002)			
11/12/2002	5	Summons Returned Executed. Served DEFENDANT FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK on 11/1/2002, answer due 11/21/2002. (mbh,) (Entered: 11/13/2002)			
11/22/2002	6	STIPULATION & ORDER THAT THE TIME IN WHICH DEFENDANTS FIRST UNION BROKERAGE SERVICES, WACHOVIA MORTGAGE CORP., FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK AND BARBARA S. RACAN MAY MOVE, ANSWER, OR OTHERWISE PLEAD TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT IS EXTENDED BY THIRTY DAYS, UP TO AND INCLUDING 12/21/02. SIGNED BY JUDGE NORMA L. SHAPIRO ON 11/22/02. 11/25/02 ENTERED AND COPIES MAILED AND FAXED BY CHAMBERS ON 11/22/02.(rv,) (Entered: 11/25/2002)			
12/20/2002	7	MOTION BY DEFTS FIRST UNION BROKERAGE SERVICES, INC., FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK, BARBARA S. RACAN, WACHOVIA MORTGAGE CORPORATION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION & DISMISS OR STAY ACTION, MEMORANDUM, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.(kw,) (Entered: 12/23/2002)			
01/29/2003	8	ANSWER by PLFFS JOSEPH E. MILLER, SARA B. MILLER to defts' motion to compel arbitration and dismiss or stay action, Memorandum, Certificate of Service. (kw,) (Entered: 01/29/2003)			
01/29/2003	9	MOTION BY PLFFS JOSEPH E. MILLER, SARA B. MILLER FOR LEAVE TO FILE OUT OF TIME, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.(kw,) (Entered: 01/29/2003)			
3/05/2003	10	ORDER THAT A FED.R.C.P. 16 PRETRIAL CONFERENCE WILL BE HELD ON 4/7/2003 AT 2:00 P.M. IN COURTROOM 10-A, ETC. SIGNED BY JUDGE NORMA L. SHAPIRO ON 3/5/03. 3/6/03 ENTERED AND COPIES MAILED.(kw,) (Entered: 03/06/2003)			
4/04/2003	11	ORDER THAT THIS ACTION SHALL BE ASSIGNED TO ARBITRATION & TRIAL OF THIS ACTION IS STAYED PENDING THE OUTCOME OF THE ARBITRATION. SIGNED BY JUDGE NORMA L. SHAPIRO ON 4/3/03. 4/4/03 ENTERED AND COPIES FAXED BY CHAMBERS.(kw,) (Entered: 04/04/2003)			
4/10/2003		ORDER THAT THIS COURT'S ORDER OF 4/3/03 IS AMENDED AS FOLLOWS: PARTIES JOINT REQUEST THAT THIS ACTION BE ASSIGNED TO ARBITRATION IS GRANTED. THIS ACTION SHALL BE ASSIGNED TO ARBITRATION & TRIAL OF THIS MATTER IS STAYED FOR 6 MONTHS PENDING THE OUTCOME OF THE ARBITRATION. THIS CASE IS PLACED IN ADMINISTRATIVE SUSPENSE UNTIL 10/10/03. PARTIES SHALL INFORM THE COURT OF THE STATUS OF ARBITRATION IN WRITING ON OR BEFORE 7/10/03. DEFTS' MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION & TO DISMISS OR STAY & MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OUT OF TIME ARE DENIED AS MOOT. SIGNED BY JUDGE NORMA L. SHAPIRO ON 4/9/03. 4/11/03 ENTERED AND COPIES			

		MAILED: COPIES FAXED BY CHAMBERS ON 4/10/03.(kw,) (Entered: 04/11/2003)
08/01/2003	13	ORDER THAT THIS CASE IS REFERRED TO NASD ARBITRATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ARBITRATION AGREEMENT TO WHICH PLFFS AGREED. PARTIES SHALL SEE TO THE IMMEDIATE SCHEDULING OF AN ARBITRATION HEARING, ETC. THIS CASE SHALL REMAIN IN ADMINISTRATIVE SUSPENSE UNTIL 9/30/03, ETC. SIGNED BY JUDGE NORMA L. SHAPIRO ON 7/31/03. 8/4/03 ENTERED AND COPIES MAILED: COPIES FAXED BY CHAMBERS ON 7/31/03.(kw,) (Entered: 08/04/2003)
10/30/2003	<u>14</u>	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION WITH PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 41.1(b) SIGNED BY JUDGE NORMA L. SHAPIRO ON 10/30/03. 10/31/093 ENTERED AND COPIES MAILED: COPIES FAXED BY CHAMBERS ON 10/30/03.(kw,) (Entered: 10/31/2003)